# On Board Resurs-P LEO Satellite GLONASS for Precise Navigation in Space

As the Russian Federation continues to implement its GLONASS modernization program, which will include newly designed spacecraft and signals, the Russian Federal Space Agency is evaluating methods for improving the system's performance based on the current generation of GLONASS-M satellites. This effort includes assessing the performance of the network of ground reference stations and options for its improvement as well as using the current GLONASS FDMA signals to precisely determine the orbits of a remote sensing satellite.

VICTOR ASHURKOV, ANDREY VOLKOV RUSSIAN FEDERAL SPACE AGENCY (ROSCOSMOS)

NIKOLAY TESTOYEDOV ISS RESHETNEV COMPANY

ANDREY TYULIN RUSSIAN SPACE SYSTEMS COMPANY

SERGEY SEREDIN, SERGEY KARUTIN, VLADIMIR MITRIKAS, AND IVAN SKAKUN THE CENTRAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MACHINE BUILDING (ROSCOSMOS)

**ARKADII TIULIAKOV, DMITRII FEDOROV** RUSSIAN INSTITUTE OF RADIONAVIGATION AND TIME (RIRT) he current stage of GLONASS evolution is aimed at meeting future user requirements of which the most important is the improved accuracy of positioning.

During the implementation of the GLONASS Space Segment Modernization Program (2012–2015), the GLONASS team is facing the situation in which it is not feasible to launch new navigation satellites because the existing constellation is comprised of GLONASS-M satellites operating beyond their guaranteed design lifetime. Nine more GLONASS-M satellites are in ground storage.

In these circumstances the decision was taken to install L3 code division

multiple access (CDMA) navigation payloads onboard the GLONASS-M satellites # 755–761 — only the first of which has been launched. In the meantime, the GLONASS developers' team conducted analysis and experimental testing of the options to improve performance of the GLONASS services based on the current GLONASS-M satellites transmitting the traditional frequency division multiple access (FDMA) signals.

#### Analysis of GLONASS Evolution Prospects

As a result of the GLONASS Federal Program in 2002–2011 resulted in the creation of a considerable scientific and technological backlog. Technological solutions for augmenting GLONASS were implemented primarily based on modernized software to enable the improvement of system performance. The most significant of these augmentations is the ground network of reference stations for the System of Differential Correction and Monitoring (SDCM).

A trade-off analysis for GLONASS services development identified the need to create a global ground network to improve the accuracy of orbit and clock prediction when implementing techniques for estimating the combined ephemeris and time parameters using one-way measurements by the ground segment.

Associated efforts initiated in 2007 resulted in deployment of a ground network with 19 reference stations in the Russian Federation and 4 stations abroad for the SCDM, which enable observation of the GLONASS satellites in both hemispheres. The main disadvantage of the network is the lack of overlap for satellite visibility zones from the stations in the Russian territory and the stations abroad. This results in the need to attract additional international stations on the territory of the Indian Ocean to provide for orbit and clock data estimates along the whole satellite track.

Despite these limitations, the prerequisites for improving the current GLONASS performance still exist. That is why the task of estimating the potentially achievable GLONASS performance in terms of accuracy becomes utterly vital. The main issue is identifying the minimum number of reference stations needed and determining the dependence of orbit and clock data errors on the periodicity of their update on board a satellite.

#### GLONASS Performance Improvement Options

We conducted an experimental study to assess options for improving GLONASS performance. To do this we used the adaptable high-accuracy hardware and software of the Information and Analysis Center (IAC) for Positioning, Navigation and Timing residing in the Central Research Institute of Machine Building of the Russian Federal Space Agency.

Based on International GNSS Service (IGS) weekly estimates, the IAC determined the orbital error of GLONASS satellites to be about 0.02–0.025 meter (RMS). In the technological cycle employed in IAC we also estimate the systematic errors of the reference stations' receivers. The delay of the IAC final data is seven days.



FIGURE 1 Ground network used for GLONASS orbit and clock data estimation



We selected a 45-day period starting February 2, 2015 for the estimation of of potential GLONASS performance. **Figure 1** shows the network of selected SDCM reference stations expanded with several IGS stations. These stations provide a data stream at one-hertz frequency.

We conducted the orbit and clock data estimation using two-day interval measurements with six-hour periodicity. The estimated parameters included the GLONASS satellites ephemerides, the stochastic corrections to satellite and reference station timescale parameters, the troposphere model parameters, the coordinates of the stations, and the Earth rotation parameters.

At the end of each solution the predicted orbit and clock data for a 24-hour interval were generated. It is worth mentioning that the clock corrections were generated using an adaptive model without high-accuracy referencing to the GLONASS time scale.

The equivalent user range error (UERE, disregarding propagation errors and user receiver biases) was estimated against the postprocessed precise orbit and clock data generated by IAC at 15-minute intervals. We estimated UEREs for all satellites in the constellation without limitations.

**Figure 2** presents the estimates of Allan deviation for the clocks on all operational satellites in the GLONASS constellation.

The following five optional modes of operation for onboard and ground capabilities were studied when estimating UERE (Table 1):

- estimating, predicting, and uploading orbit and clock data every 6 hours with 6-hour delay from the latest measurement (orbit and clock data prediction at the 6–12 hour interval
- estimating, predicting, and uploading orbit and clock data every 6 hours with an hour delay from the latest measurement (orbit and clock data prediction at 1–6 hour interval instead of the 1–7 hour interval)
- estimating, predicting, and uploading orbit and clock data every hour with 2-hour delay from the latest measurement (orbit and clock data prediction at the 2–3 hour interval)
- estimating, predicting, and uploading orbit and clock data every 2 hours with an hour delay from the latest measurement (orbit and clock data prediction at 1–3 hour interval)
- estimating, predicting and uploading orbit and clock data every 15 minutes with an hour delay from the latest measurement (orbit and clock data prediction at 0.25–1.25 hour interval).

The obtained results demonstrate that the accuracy of user position solutions can be improved by employing the orbit and clock data determination technique based on the measurements from both the limited ground network and the FDMA navigation signals. The improved orbit determination and clock synchronization accuracy is achieved through the faster calculation of the orbit and clock data and its delivery to users.

#### GLONASS Use for Precise Orbit Determination of LEO Satellites

For decades before the global navi-

Orbit and Clock Data Upload Periodicity, hour/ Orbit and Clock Data Prediction Interval, hour	σ <sub>0</sub> , m
6 / 6-12	0.8
6 / 1–6	0.5
1 / 2-3	0.45
2/1-3	0.4
1/0.25-1.25	0.3
Table 1 UERE estimation results for	

gation satellite systems came into being, the position of any satellite had been determined through a dynamic method incorporating *a priori* specified models (geopotential, tidal deformations, atmosphere, and so on). Such models were validated along with the satellites orbits, while solution accuracy was estimated based on measurement residuals or laser ranging data. With the advent of GNSS, onboard carrier phase measurements and precise point positioning (PPP) technology in particular have enabled a change in the approach to the solution of the aforementioned tasks.

Such solutions are based on high-accuracy GNSS satellite orbit and clock data, resulting from the sophisticated processing of one-way navigation measurements obtained from global networks of commercial geodetic-grade receivers.



## One team with one goal: accurate and reliable GNSS positioning anywhere

In the 1990s such solutions were carried out only for geodynamic research in analysis centers (such as those



located in Pasadena, Ottawa, Bern, Potsdam, and Darmstadt) united by the International GNSS Service (IGS) with its Central Bureau located at the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). During that period methods and models of processing phase measurements were being improved using *a posteriori* approaches.

By that time it had already become clear that GNSS phase measurements could potentially enable the determination of user position in real time with significantly better accuracy. High-accuracy GNSS satellite orbit and



FIGURE 4 Difference of Resurs-P flight paths calculated from separate onboard equipment sets: the y-axis represents the 3D distance between two receivers in meters; the blue points represent the distance between two receivers (3D); red line represents the average value; green shaded area is  $\pm \sigma$  (RMS). y-axis -



FIGURE 5 Phase measurement residuals on Resurs-P GNSS receiver with colored points representing signals from various GNSS satellites

clock data is the only thing needed to accomplish this. Still, one substantial restriction on carrier phase positioning is the need for a continuity of phase measurements at a relatively high rate providing cycle-slip detection. Therefore, it seems reasonable that the evolution of GNSS measurement techniques could support orbit determination of low Earth orbiting (LEO) satellites. The position dilution of precision (PDOP) at a LEO altitude of 500 kilometers is almost the same as that at the ground level, while atmospheric influences, the major error source for a ground-level user, are substantially lower.

In order to estimate the accuracy of a LEO satellite position determination based on GLONASS measurements, we chose the 24-hour interval (January 7, 2015) of measurements by a navigation receiver on board the Resurs-P satellite. This Earth remote sensing satellite is the first in a series of three spacecraft designated for updating maps, supporting economic activity of some user groups, as well as collecting data to support environmental monitoring and protection.

The Resurs-P satellite carries four GNSS navigation receiver sets on board, providing a unique opportunity to estimate both the spacecraft's flight path and its orientation pattern based on navigation measurements. **Figure 3** shows the exterior of the Resurs-P satellite.

Estimation of the Resurs-P flight path error may only be evaluated indirectly using high-accuracy ephemeris and time information based on phase measurements of GLONASS satellites' signals. The availability of several navigation receiver sets onboard the spacecraft enables us to compare flight paths obtained and processed independently and thus evaluate the accuracy of orbits. **Figure 4** shows the difference of flight paths from separate GNSS receivers. **Figure 5** provides a typical example of phase measurement residuals.

In order to improve orbit quality all four receivers were processed in one

#### **RESURS-P LEO**

filter together with strong constraint on the distance between each pair of receivers and other parameters. Taking into account that the noise error of residuals for the ionospherefree combination phase measurements are at the level of 0.01 meter (RMS) and that the flight paths from the various receiver sets, obtained through independent processing, align at 0.05 meter (RMS), we can estimate the 3D final flight path accuracy for combined processing at the level of 0.10 meter (RMS).

The four receiver sets also allow estimation of the satellite's attitude based on GNSS measurements only. Figure 6 shows the values of the Resurs-P roll axis angular displacement off the Earth's center direction. Thus, the noise component of the satellite attitude determination is at the level of 10 angular minutes (RMS).



#### Conclusion

Allowing for the current onboard clock performance of GLONASS-M satellites and augmentations are capable of driving the orbit and clock error down to 0.3 meter in real time. This allows use of GLONASS with existing FDMA signals for high accuracy navigation.

#### Manufacturer

The GNSS equipment on board the Resurs-P satellite are test receivers manufactured by the **Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time**, St. Petersburg, Russia.

### International Technical Symposium on Navigation and Timing



#### **Additional Resources**

1. Lyskov, D., Deputy Head of the Russian Space Agency, Roscosmos, "Directions 2014: New Horizons of GLONASS," *GPS World*, December 1, 2013

2. The Central Research Institute of Machine Building Informational-Analytical Center, Service for the comprehensive analysis of GNSS performance [Electronic resource].URL: <stat.glonass-iac.ru> (date of access: April 10, 2015)

#### Authors



Victor Ashurkov graduated from the Mozhayskiy Military Engineering Academy where he specialized on mechanical engineering. He has served in a variety of positions within the Russian Space Forces. Since 1998 he has been working for the Federal Space Agency (Roscosmos) as the deputy head of the Automated Space Vehicles and Systems Department and supervis-

ing the GLONASS program since 2006.



Andrey Volkov is the head of the GLONASS Federal Program Department in Roscosmos. He obtained a degree in radio engineering from the Stavropol Higher Military Communication School and has erved in a variety of positions within the Space Forces' GLONASS System Control Center. Since 2005 he is been in various positions in Roscosmos.



Nikolay Testoyedov is the Head of the Reshetnev Information Satellite Systems Company (ISS-Reshetnev JSC). He graduated from Leningrad Mechanical Institute as a mechanical engineer. Since 1974 has served in a wide variety of positions from an engineer to serving as the head in the Scientific and Production Association of Applied Mechanics (abbreviated NPO PM), later

renamed the ISS-Reshetnev Company. He holds a doctor of engineering degree and is a professor in the Satellites Department at Siberian State Aerospace University, a corresponding member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, and a Russian Federation Government Prize Winner for Science and Technology (2002 and 2013).



Andrey Tyulin, Ph.D, is the head of the Russian Space Systems Company since 2014. He obtained a degree from the Maj.-Gen. Lizyukov Higher Military Command School, a degree from the Kalinin Military Artillery Academy, a degree from the Higher Academic Courses of the Russian Federation Armed Forces General Staff Academy, and an M.S. in law from the Moscow State

Social University. Since 1978 he has served in a wide variety of leadership positions within the Armed Forces. In 2009 he retired from the Russian Armed Forces as a lieutenant-general. Since 2009 he has occupied a variety of civil leadership positions in large aviation- and radio-electronic technologies-related companies. He is a professor at the Russian Academy of Military Sciences and a Russian Federation Government Prize Winner for Science and Technology.



Sergey Seredin is the deputy director of the Central Research Institute for Machine Building (Roscosmos). Since 2014 he has been supervising the Information and Analysis Center for Positioning, Navigation and Timing residing in the institute. He graduated from Bauman Moscow Technical University as a mechanical engineer in 1983. In 1983–1985 he worked as an

engineer for Energia Company. In 1985–1990 he served in the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation. In 1990-2003 he occupied a variety of leadership positions in commercial companies. In 2005-2010 he assumed the position of deputy director in Kvant company, which later became a part of the ISS-Reshetnev Company. He has been in his current position since 2011.



Sergey Karutin is a head of the PNT center at Central Institute of Machine Building, a leading Roscosmos institute. He has worked on the GLONASS team since 1998, starting as a software engineer in the user equipment department at JSC "Russian Space Systems." He worked as project manager of the GLONASS System of Differential Corrections and Monitoring (SDCM) from 2008 until 2013. Karutin is an electronic engineer who graduated from Bauman Moscow State Technical University where he later obtained his Ph.D. degree.



and Time Synchronization Department of the PNT Center, Central Institute of Machine Building. A graduate from the Moscow Aviation Institute since 1987 he has been engaged in measurement processing: laser ranging measurements in the 1990s and GNSS measurements since 2004.

Vladimir Mitrikas is the head of the Orbit Determination



**Ivan Skakun** received a M.Sc degree in applied physics and mathematics from the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology. He has been involved in GNSS research since 2010. His area of research includes high-accuracy GNSS orbit and clock products and their applications.



Arkadii Tiuliakov is the deputy general director of the Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time (RIRT) in St. Petersburg, Russia. He graduated from the Leningrad Institute of Aviation Instrumentation and has been working for RIRT since 1967. He is an expert in the field of synchronization systems of space and ground complexes.



**Dmitrii Fedorov**, Ph.D., is the deputy head of department at the Russian Institute of Radionavigation and Time (RIRT). He graduated from the Leningrad Institute of Aviation Instrumentation and has been working for "RIRT" since 1976. He is an expert in the field of space navigation and synchronization.

# Fast GPU-powered GNSS Simulator

**SKYDEL** 



Real signals • Real time • Real results skydelsolutions.com