

GNSS POSITIONING: It's all about precise time.

When it comes to GNSS, everybody talks about location, location, location. But shouldn't it really be time, time, time?

s the forthcoming "Time and Navigation" opening next March at the Smithsonian National Air and Space Museum reminds us, modern navigation depends profoundly upon time – the more precise, accurate, and stable a navigation system's timing is, the more precise, accurate, and stable its positioning capability.

Not only that, precise time — as so affordably provided by GNSS satellites — lies at the heart of global security. A Department of Homeland Security (DHS) analysis found that 15 of 18 critical infrastructure/key resource sectors in the United States depend upon GNSS-provided time — such things as communications, banking and finance, emergency services, electrical power grids. Those dependencies ripple throughout the increasingly complex networks that bind the developed world together.

We asked Francine Vannicola to bring us up to date on the status of time/frequency capabilities of GNSS systems. Vannicola is a mathematician at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Advanced Space PNT Branch in Washington, D.C. Her current work involves GPS on-orbit and Block IIF life test atomic clock analysis. Vannicola currently serves as chairman of the PTTI (Precise Time and Time Interval) Executive Committee.

The NRL has been involved with the GPS program since its beginning and has provided expertise for space qualified atomic frequency standards (AFS) for all phases of the program. On a quarterly basis, NRL produces a performance analysis report for all operational GPS AFSs.

Since 2004 the NRL has been conducting life tests of the GPS Block IIF cesium AFS (CAFS) and rubidium AFS (RAFS) in the NRL Precision Clock Evaluation Facility (PCEF), one of the major facilities within the Naval Center for Space Technology. Life testing of the Block IIR RAFS was conducted there from 1997 to 2004.

The PCEF was developed at NRL to support development of high-precision clocks for GPS spacecraft and ground applications, primarily atomic standards. Investigations of on-orbit anomalies are performed within the PCEF to attempt to duplicate similar effects in spacequalified hardware under controlled conditions.

To date GPS has launched 51 operational satellites and activated 125 AFSs. The current constellation consists of 31 operational satellites that include three generations of satellites (Block IIA, IIR/M, and IIF) and seven types of CAFS and RAFS.

NovAtel's Company Values Innovation and Integration are cornerstones of our business, we believe that excellence is the standard and we always encourage new ideas.

The Block II/IIA satellites were launched from 1989 through 1997, and each satellite contains two CAFS and two RAFS. The Block IIR/M satellites were launched from 1997 through 2009 containing three RAFS each. The Block IIF satellites began to launch in 2010 with one CAFS and two RAFS on board each.

What has been the improvement in GPS SV clocks over subsequent generations in terms of time and frequency stability and accuracy, as well as longevity?

VANNICOLA: The GPS AFSs have undergone substantial improvements over subsequent generations. The Block II/IIA RAFS, built by the Rockwell Anaheim Division, was the final production model of numerous modifications to the Block I RAFS. The Symmetricom (then Frequency and Time Systems) cesium clock flew on all Block II and IIA satellites. In addition, alternate source cesium clocks from Kernco and Frequency Electronics Inc. were developed and flown in Block IIA. The Block IIA AFSs continue to perform within specification and operate past their expected lifetime.

The Block IIR/M RAFS was a new design from Excelitas (then EG&G) and has displayed excellent reliability and longevity. The Block IIF RAFS has displayed better performance than the Block IIR/M due to an improved physics package. The improved performance of the Block IIF CAFS compared to the Block II/IIA CAFS is due to design concepts previously demonstrated in commercial digital cesium clocks to reduce environmental sensitivities. Improvement to the cesium beam tube technology from Block II/IIA to Block IIF also resulted in improved short-term stability and lifetime.

Block IIR/M and Block IIF AFS have performed better by an order of magnitude than the Block II/IIA AFS. Currently the Block IIF RAFS displays the best performance in the GPS constellation.

How does GPS system timing performance compare with other GNSS systems? How do the various GNSS systems compare with one another in terms of the quality of their SV clocks?

VANNICOLA: NRL does not monitor GNSS system time performance; however, we can provide the following details about on-orbit performance of the GNSS system clocks.

For current on-orbit GPS CAFS and RAFS, oneday stabilities range from 5pp10⁻¹⁵ to 1pp10⁻¹³; the GPS AFSs of the same type and block tend to perform similar to one another and within their specifications.

The current GLONASS constellation consists of 24 operational satellites that include GLONASS-M and GLONASS-K satellites. The GLONASS-M satellites launch with three CAFS and are expected



The U.S. Naval Observatory Alternate Master Clock at 2nd Space Operations Squadron, Schriever AFB in Colorado. This photo was taken in January, 2006 during the addition of a leap second. The USNO master clocks monitor GPS timing.

to achieve a one-day stability of 1pp10⁻¹³. The GLONASS-K satellites contain CAFS with a quoted clock stability of 1pp10⁻¹⁴. The available one-day stabilities show the GLONASS AFSs range between 2pp10⁻¹⁴ and 2pp10⁻¹³.

The Galileo constellation will consist of 30 satellites. Each satellite is planned to launch with two Passive Hydrogen Masers (PHMs) and two RAFS. Four In-Orbit Validation (IOV) satellites have been launched thus far. Previously, two Galileo In-Orbit Validation Element (GIOVE) satellites – since decommissioned – were launched for evaluation. GIOVE-A contained two RAFS and GIOVE-B contained one PHM and two RAFS. The performance of the Galileo GIOVE-B PHM achieved on-orbit a one-day stability of 1pp10⁻¹⁴ and the Galileo GIOVE-A and GIOVE-B RAFS stability ranged between 1 and 2pp10⁻¹³.

What would the elimination of the leap second from UTC mean for GNSS system operators? For GNSS users?

VANNICOLA: The elimination of the leap second from UTC has been debated for years. The International Telecommunication Union–Radionavigation (ITU–R) Working Party 7A has reviewed an extensive number of technical considerations and studies, and no consensus has been reached.

We can't speak for GNSS system operators, but one could speculate some type of modification would be required for standard operating procedures should the leap second be eliminated. GNSS system operators would need to examine possible limitations to the various GNSS systems when the tolerance limit between Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) and UT1 exceeds 0.9 second.

At present, when a leap second is introduced — with preferred months and days of the month for implementation, six months' notice is provided prior to implementation. Leap seconds also do not occur on a regular basis, e.g., yearly or every 18 months, which proves to be difficult for planning purposes. Leap second elimination would most likely change little that would affect GNSS users, but it might require some planning. User equipment dependencies may exist that determine how well the manufacturer has built in the ability to accommodate or not accommodate the leap second.

What are the plans for developing future capability in GPS time?

VANNICOLA: NRL is not aware of specific plans for future GPS space-qualified clocks; however, the National Institute of Standards and Technology is undertaking GPS-related work that could lead to a cold atom space clock. The NASA Jet Propulsion Lab and Symmetricom have investigated a Mercury Atomic Frequency Standard (MAFS), which is a mercury ion storage clock for future GPS use. Currently, no plans have been made to actually fly these devices. No space-qualified masers are being planned for GPS, although one comparable to the Galileo maser was developed for GPS in the 1980s. **IG**



Francine Vannicola Naval Research Laboratory

GPS Block IIR/M and Block IIF

atomic frerquency standards

have performed better by an order of magnitude than the

Block II/IIA AFSs.



NOVATEL'S SPONSORSHIP Our customers have ideas. Lots of them. Turning those ideas into a competitive advantage is what we do. NovAtel's integrated global positioning solutions deliver success time and time again on land, sea, and in the air. We help many of the world's leading companies stay in the lead by consistently delivering OEM global satellite positioning products that are recognized for their technical innovation, unsurpassed quality and industry-leading customer support.